ANNEX 12

RESOLUTION MSC.429(98)/REV.2
(adopted on 11 November 2020)

REVISED EXPLANATORY NOTES TO THE SOLAS CHAPTER II-1 SUBDIVISION AND DAMAGE STABILITY REGULATIONS

THE MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE,

RECALLING Article 28(b) of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization concerning the function of the Committee,

RECALLING ALSO that, by resolution MSC.216(82), it adopted the regulations on subdivision and damage stability as contained in SOLAS chapter II-1 which are based on the probabilistic concept, using the probability of survival after collision as a measure of ships' safety in a damaged condition,

NOTING that, at its eighty-second session, it approved Interim explanatory notes to the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations (MSC.1/Circ.1226), to assist Administrations in the uniform interpretation and application of the aforementioned subdivision and damage stability regulations,

NOTING ALSO that, at its eighty-fifth session, it adopted the Explanatory notes to the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations (resolution MSC.281(85)),

NOTING FURTHER that, by resolution MSC.421(98), it adopted amendments to regulations on subdivision and damage stability, as contained in SOLAS chapter II-1, and in conjunction with the adoption of the aforementioned amendments, adopted the Revised Explanatory Notes to the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations, by resolution MSC.429(98),

NOTING that, by resolution MSC.474(102), it adopted additional amendments to regulations on subdivision and damage stability, as contained in SOLAS chapter II-1,

RECOGNIZING that the consolidated Revised Explanatory Notes should be adopted in conjunction with the adoption of the aforementioned amendments to subdivision and damage stability regulations,

RECOGNIZING ALSO that the appropriate application of the Revised Explanatory Notes is essential for ensuring the uniform application of the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations,

HAVING CONSIDERED, at its 102nd session, the recommendations made by the Sub-Committee on Ship Design and Construction, at its seventh session,

1 ADOPTS the consolidated Revised explanatory notes to the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations set out in the annex to the present resolution;

2 URGES Contracting Governments and all parties concerned to utilize the consolidated Revised Explanatory Notes when applying the SOLAS chapter II-1 subdivision and damage stability regulations adopted by resolution MSC.216(82), as amended;
3 INVITES Contracting Governments to note that these consolidated Revised Explanatory Notes should take effect on 1 January 2024 and should apply to ships as defined in SOLAS regulation II-1/1.1.1.1;

4 REVOKES resolution MSC.429(98)/Rev.1 on 1 January 2024.
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PART A

INTRODUCTION

1 The harmonized SOLAS regulations on subdivision and damage stability, as contained in SOLAS chapter II-1, are based on a probabilistic concept which uses the probability of survival after collision as a measure of ships' safety in a damaged condition. This probability is referred to as the "attained subdivision index A" in the regulations. It can be considered an objective measure of ships' safety and, ideally, there would be no need to supplement this index by any deterministic requirements.

2 The philosophy behind the probabilistic concept is that two different ships with the same attained index are of equal safety and, therefore, there is no need for special treatment of specific parts of the ship, even if they are able to survive different damages. The only areas which are given special attention in the regulations are the forward and bottom regions, which are dealt with by special subdivision rules provided for cases of ramming and grounding.

3 Only a few deterministic elements, which were necessary to make the concept practicable, have been included. It was also necessary to include a deterministic "minor damage" on top of the probabilistic regulations for passenger ships to avoid ships being designed with what might be perceived as unacceptably vulnerable spots in some part of their length.

4 It is easily recognized that there are many factors that will affect the final consequences of hull damage to a ship. These factors are random and their influence is different for ships with different characteristics. For example, it would seem obvious that in ships of similar size carrying different amounts of cargo, damages of similar extents may lead to different results because of differences in the range of permeability and draught during service. The mass and velocity of the ramming ship is obviously another random variable.

5 Owing to this, the effect of a three-dimensional damage to a ship with given watertight subdivision depends on the following circumstances:

   .1 which particular space or group of adjacent spaces is flooded;
   .2 the draught, trim and intact metacentric height at the time of damage;
   .3 the permeability of affected spaces at the time of damage;
   .4 the sea state at the time of damage; and
   .5 other factors such as possible heeling moments owing to unsymmetrical weights.

6 Some of these circumstances are interdependent and the relationship between them and their effects may vary in different cases. Additionally, the effect of hull strength on penetration will obviously have some effect on the results for a given ship. Since the location and size of the damage is random, it is not possible to state which part of the ship becomes flooded. However, the probability of flooding a given space can be determined if the probability of occurrence of certain damages is known from experience, that is, damage statistics. The probability of flooding a space is then equal to the probability of occurrence of all such damages which just open the considered space to the sea.
7 For these reasons and because of mathematical complexity as well as insufficient data, it would not be practicable to make an exact or direct assessment of their effect on the probability that a particular ship will survive a random damage if it occurs. However, accepting some approximations or qualitative judgments, a logical treatment may be achieved by using the probability approach as the basis for a comparative method for the assessment and regulation of ship safety.

8 It may be demonstrated by means of probability theory that the probability of ship survival should be calculated as the sum of probabilities of its survival after flooding each single compartment, each group of two, three, etc., adjacent compartments multiplied, respectively, by the probabilities of occurrence of such damages leading to the flooding of the corresponding compartment or group of compartments.

9 If the probability of occurrence for each of the damage scenarios the ship could be subjected to is calculated and then combined with the probability of surviving each of these damages with the ship loaded in the most probable loading conditions, we can determine the attained index $A$ as a measure for the ship's ability to sustain a collision damage.

10 It follows that the probability that a ship will remain afloat without sinking or capsizing as a result of an arbitrary collision in a given longitudinal position can be broken down to:

1. the probability that the longitudinal centre of damage occurs in just the region of the ship under consideration;
2. the probability that this damage has a longitudinal extent that only includes spaces between the transverse watertight bulkheads found in this region;
3. the probability that the damage has a vertical extent that will flood only the spaces below a given horizontal boundary, such as a watertight deck;
4. the probability that the damage has a transverse penetration not greater than the distance to a given longitudinal boundary; and
5. the probability that the watertight integrity and the stability throughout the flooding sequence is sufficient to avoid capsizing or sinking.

11 The first three of these factors are solely dependent on the watertight arrangement of the ship, while the last two depend on the ship's shape. The last factor also depends on the actual loading condition. By grouping these probabilities, calculations of the probability of survival, or attained index $A$, have been formulated to include the following probabilities:

1. the probability of flooding each single compartment and each possible group of two or more adjacent compartments; and
2. the probability that the stability after flooding a compartment or a group of two or more adjacent compartments will be sufficient to prevent capsizing or dangerous heeling due to loss of stability or to heeling moments in intermediate or final stages of flooding.

12 This concept allows a rule requirement to be applied by requiring a minimum value of $A$ for a particular ship. This minimum value is referred to as the "required subdivision index $R$" in the present regulations and can be made dependent on ship size, number of passengers or other factors legislators might consider important.
13 Evidence of compliance with the rules then simply becomes:

\[ A \geq R \]

13.1 As explained above, the attained subdivision index \( A \) is determined by a formula for the entire probability as the sum of the products for each compartment or group of compartments of the probability that a space is flooded, multiplied by the probability that the ship will not capsize or sink due to flooding of the considered space. In other words, the general formula for the attained index can be given in the form:

\[ A = \sum p_i s_i \]

13.2 Subscript \( "i" \) represents the damage zone (group of compartments) under consideration within the watertight subdivision of the ship. The subdivision is viewed in the longitudinal direction, starting with the aftmost zone/compartment.

13.3 The value of \( "p_i" \) represents the probability that only the zone \( "i" \) under consideration will be flooded, disregarding any horizontal subdivision, but taking transverse subdivision into account. Longitudinal subdivision within the zone will result in additional flooding scenarios, each with its own probability of occurrence.

13.4 The value of \( "s_i" \) represents the probability of survival after flooding the zone \( "i" \) under consideration.

14 Although the ideas outlined above are very simple, their practical application in an exact manner would give rise to several difficulties if a mathematically perfect method were to be developed. As pointed out above, an extensive but still incomplete description of the damage will include its longitudinal and vertical location as well as its longitudinal, vertical and transverse extent. Apart from the difficulties in handling such a five-dimensional random variable, it is impossible to determine its probability distribution very accurately with the presently available damage statistics. Similar limitations are true for the variables and physical relationships involved in the calculation of the probability that a ship will not capsize or sink during intermediate stages or in the final stage of flooding.

15 A close approximation of the available statistics would result in extremely numerous and complicated computations. In order to make the concept practicable, extensive simplifications are necessary. Although it is not possible to calculate the exact probability of survival on such a simplified basis, it has still been possible to develop a useful comparative measure of the merits of the longitudinal, transverse and horizontal subdivision of a ship.

PART B

GUIDANCE ON INDIVIDUAL SOLAS CHAPTER II-1
SUBDIVISION AND DAMAGE STABILITY REGULATIONS

REGULATION 1 – APPLICATION

Regulation 1.3

1 If a passenger ship built before 1 January 2009 undergoes alterations or modifications of major character, it may still remain under the damage stability regulations applicable to ships built before 1 January 2009.
2 If a passenger ship constructed on or after 1 January 2009 but before the applicable
dates in regulation 1.1.1.1 undergoes alterations or modifications of major character that do
not impact the watertight subdivision of the ship, or only have a minor impact, it may still remain
under the damage stability regulations that were applicable when it was constructed. However,
if alterations or modifications of major character significantly impact the watertight subdivision
of the ship, it should comply with the damage stability regulations in part B-1 applicable when
the alterations or modifications of major character are carried out unless the Administration
determines that this is not reasonable and practicable, in which case the attained subdivision
index A should be raised above the original construction required subdivision index R as much
as practical.

3 Application of MSC.1/Circ.1246 is limited to cargo ships constructed
before 1 January 2009.

4 A cargo ship constructed on or after 1 January 2009 of less than 80 m in length that
is later lengthened beyond that limit should fully comply with the damage stability regulations
according to its type and length.

5 If a passenger ship that has been in domestic service only and never been
issued a SOLAS Passenger Ship Safety Certificate is converted to international service, for purposes
of the stability requirements in parts B, B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4 it should be treated as a
passenger ship constructed on the date on which such a conversion commences.

REGULATION 2 – DEFINITIONS

Regulation 2.1

Subdivision length ($L_s$) – Different examples of $L_s$ showing the buoyant hull and the reserve
buoyancy are provided in the figures below. The limiting deck for the reserve buoyancy may
be partially watertight.

The maximum possible vertical extent of damage above the baseline is $d_s + 12.5$ metres.

---

\(^1\) References to regulations in this Guidance are to regulations of SOLAS chapter II-1, unless expressly
provided otherwise.
Regulation 2.6

Freeboard deck – See explanatory notes for regulation 13-1 for the treatment of a stepped freeboard deck with regard to watertightness and construction requirements.
Regulation 2.11

Light service draught (#d) – The light service draught (#d) corresponds, in general, to the ballast arrival condition with 10% consumables for cargo ships. For passenger ships it corresponds, in general, to the arrival condition with 10% consumables, a full complement of passengers and crew and their effects, and ballast as necessary for stability and trim. Any temporary ballast water exchange conditions for compliance with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediments, 2004 or any non-service conditions, such as dry-docking, should not be taken as #d.

Regulation 2.19

Bulkhead deck – See explanatory notes for regulation 13 for the treatment of a stepped bulkhead deck with regard to watertightness and construction requirements.

REGULATION 4 – GENERAL

Regulation 4.5

See explanatory notes for regulation 7-2.2, for information and guidance related to these provisions.

REGULATION 5 – INTACT STABILITY

Regulation 5.2

1 For the purpose of this regulation, a sister ship means a cargo ship built by the same shipyard from the same plans.

2 For any new sister ship with known differences from the lead sister ship that do not exceed the lightship displacement and longitudinal centre of gravity deviation limits specified in regulation 5.2, a detailed weights and centres of gravity calculation to adjust the lead sister ship’s lightship properties should be carried out. These adjusted lead sister ship lightship properties are then used for comparison to the new sister ship’s lightweight survey results. However, in cases when the known differences from the lead sister ship exceed lightship displacement or longitudinal centre of gravity deviation limits specified in regulation 5.2, the ship should be inclined.

3 When the lightweight survey results do not exceed the specified deviation limits, the lightship displacement and the longitudinal and transverse centres of gravity obtained from the lightweight survey should be used in conjunction with the higher of either the lead sister ship’s vertical centre of gravity or the calculated, adjusted value.

4 Regulation 5.2 may be applied to the SPS Code ships certified to carry less than 240 persons.

Regulation 5.4

1 When alterations are made to a ship in service that result in calculable differences in the lightship properties, a detailed weights and centres of gravity calculation to adjust the lightship properties should be carried out. If the adjusted lightship displacement or longitudinal centre of gravity, when compared to the approved values, exceeds one of the deviation limits specified in regulation 5.5, the ship should be re-inclined. In addition, if the adjusted lightship vertical centre of gravity, when compared to the approved value, exceeds 1%, the ship should be re-inclined. The lightship transverse centre of gravity is not subject to a deviation limit.
2 When a ship does not exceed the deviation limits specified in explanatory note 1 above, amended stability information should be provided to the master using the new calculated lightship properties if any of the following deviations from the approved values are exceeded:

.1 1% of the lightship displacement; or
.2 0.5% of L for the longitudinal centre of gravity; or
.3 0.5% of the vertical centre of gravity.

However, in cases when these deviation limits are not exceeded, it is not necessary to amend the stability information supplied to the master.

3 When multiple alterations are made to a ship in service over a period of time and each alteration is within the deviation limits specified above, the cumulative total changes to the lightship properties from the most recent inclining also should not exceed the deviation limits specified above or the ship should be re-inclined.

Regulation 5.5

When the lightweight survey results do not exceed the specified deviation limits, the lightship displacement and the longitudinal and transverse centres of gravity obtained from the lightweight survey should be used in conjunction with the vertical centre of gravity derived from the most recent inclining in all subsequent stability information supplied to the master.

REGULATION 5-1 – STABILITY INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED TO THE MASTER

Regulation 5-1.3

The requirement that applied trim values shall coincide in all stability information intended for use on board is intended to address initial stability calculations as well as those that may be necessary during the service life of the ship.

Regulation 5-1.4 (see also regulation 7.2)

1 Linear interpolation of the limiting values between the draughts $d_s$, $d_p$, and $d_l$ is only applicable to minimum $GM$ values. If it is intended to develop curves of maximum permissible $KG$, a sufficient number of $KM_T$ values for intermediate draughts should be calculated to ensure that the resulting maximum $KG$ curves correspond with a linear variation of $GM$. When light service draught is not with the same trim as other draughts, $KM_T$ for draughts between partial and light service draught should be calculated for trims interpolated between trim at partial draught and trim at light service draught.

2 In cases where the operational trim range is intended to exceed $\pm0.5\%$ of $L$, the original $GM$ limit line should be designed in the usual manner with the deepest subdivision draught and partial subdivision draught calculated at level trim and estimated service trim used for the light service draught. Then additional sets of $GM$ limit lines should be constructed on the basis of the operational range of trims which is covered by loading conditions for each of the three draughts $d_s$, $d_p$, and $d_l$ ensuring that intervals of $1\% L$ are not exceeded. The sets of $GM$ limit lines are combined to give a single envelope limiting $GM$ curve. The effective trim range of the curve should be clearly stated.
3 If multiple GM limiting curves are obtained from damage stability calculations of differing trims in accordance with regulation 7, an envelope curve covering all calculated trim values should be developed. Calculations covering different trim values should be carried out in steps not exceeding 1% of L. The whole range including intermediate trims should be covered by the damage stability calculations. Refer to the example showing an envelope curve obtained from calculations of 0 trim and 1% of L.

![GM limit curve diagram]

Temporary loading conditions may occur with a draught less than the light service draught \( d_l \) due to ballast water exchange requirements, etc. In these cases, for draughts below \( d_l \), the GM limit value at \( d_l \) is to be used.

5 Ships may be permitted to sail at draughts above the deepest subdivision draught \( d_s \) according to the International Convention on Load Lines, e.g. using the tropical freeboard. In these cases, for draughts above \( d_s \) the GM limit value at \( d_s \) is to be used.

**Regulation 5-1.5**

There could be cases where it is desirable to expand the trim range, for instance around \( d_p \). This approach is based on the principle that it is not necessary that the same number of trims be used when the GM is the same throughout a draught and when the steps between trims do not exceed 1% of L. In these cases there will be three A values based on draughts \( s_1, p_1, l_1 \) and \( s_2, p_2, l_2 \) and \( s_3, p_3, l_3 \). The lowest value of each partial index \( A_s, A_p \) and \( A_l \) across these trims should be used in the summation of the attained subdivision index A.
**Regulation 5-1.6**

This provision is intended to address cases where an Administration approves an alternative means of verification.

**REGULATION 6 – REQUIRED SUBDIVISION INDEX R**

**Regulation 6.1**

To demonstrate compliance with these provisions, see the *Guidelines for the preparation of subdivision and damage stability calculations*, set out in the appendix, regarding the presentation of damage stability calculation results.

**REGULATION 7 – ATTAINED SUBDIVISION INDEX A**

**Regulation 7.1**

1. The probability of surviving after collision damage to the ship's hull is expressed by the index $A$. Producing an index $A$ requires calculation of various damage scenarios defined by the extent of damage and the initial loading conditions of the ship before damage. Three loading conditions should be considered and the result weighted as follows:

$$A = 0.4A_s + 0.4A_p + 0.2A_l$$

where the indices $s$, $p$ and $l$ represent the three loading conditions and the factor to be multiplied to the index indicates how the index $A$ from each loading condition is weighted.

2. The method of calculating $A$ for a loading condition is expressed by the formula:

$$A_i = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i [v_i, s_i]$$

2.1 The index $c$ represents one of the three loading conditions, the index $i$ represents each investigated damage or group of damages and $t$ is the number of damages to be investigated to calculate $A_c$ for the particular loading condition.

2.2 To obtain a maximum index $A$ for a given subdivision, $t$ has to be equal to $T$, the total number of damages.

3. In practice, the damage combinations to be considered are limited either by significantly reduced contributions to $A$ (i.e. flooding of substantially larger volumes) or by exceeding the maximum possible damage length.

4. The index $A$ is divided into partial factors as follows:

   - $p_i$: The $p$ factor is solely dependent on the geometry of the watertight arrangement of the ship.
   - $v_i$: The $v$ factor is dependent on the geometry of the watertight arrangement (decks) of the ship and the draught of the initial loading condition. It represents the probability that the spaces above the horizontal subdivision will not be flooded.
The \( s \) factor is dependent on the calculated survivability of the ship after the considered damage for a specific initial condition.

5 Three initial loading conditions should be used for calculating each index \( A \). The loading conditions are defined by their mean draught \( d \), trim and \( GM \) (or \( KG \)). The mean draught and trim are illustrated in the figure below.

6 The \( GM \) (or \( KG \)) values for the three loading conditions could, as a first attempt, be taken from the intact stability \( GM \) (or \( KG \)) limit curve. If the required index \( R \) is not obtained, the \( GM \) (or \( KG \)) values may be increased (or reduced), implying that the intact loading conditions from the intact stability book must now meet the \( GM \) (or \( KG \)) limit curve from the damage stability calculations derived by linear interpolation between the three \( GMs \).

7 For a series of new passenger or cargo ships built from the same plans each of which have the same draughts \( d_s \), \( d_p \) and \( d_l \) as well as the same \( GM \) and trim limits, the attained subdivision index \( A \) calculated for the lead ship may be used for the other ships. In addition, small differences in the draught \( d_l \) (and the subsequent change in the draught \( d_p \)) are acceptable if they are due to small differences in the lightship characteristics that do not exceed the deviation limits specified in regulation 5.2. For cases where these conditions are not met, a new attained subdivision index \( A \) should be calculated.

"Built from the same plans" means that the watertight and weathertight aspects of the hull, bulkheads, decks, openings and other parts of a ship that impact the attained subdivision index \( A \) calculation remain exactly the same.

8 For a passenger or cargo ship in service which undergoes alterations that materially affect the stability information supplied to the master and require it to be re-inclined in accordance with regulation 5.4, a new attained subdivision index \( A \) should be calculated. However, for alteration cases where a re-inclining is not required and the alterations do not change the watertight and weathertight arrangements of the ship that impact the attained subdivision index \( A \), if \( d_s \) and the \( GM \) and trim limits remain the same then a new attained subdivision index \( A \) is not required.

9 For passenger ships subject to lightweight surveys every 5 years, if the lightweight survey results are within the limits specified in regulation 5.5, and \( d_s \) and the \( GM \) and trim limits remain the same, a new attained subdivision index \( A \) is not required. However, if the lightweight survey results exceed either limit specified in regulation 5.5, a new attained subdivision index \( A \) should be calculated.

10 For any new passenger or cargo ship for which the deviation in lightship characteristics between the preliminary and the as built values are within the limits specified in regulation 5.2 and \( d_s \) is unchanged, then the preliminary attained subdivision index \( A \) calculation may be approved as the final attained subdivision index \( A \) calculation. However, for cases where these conditions are not met, then a new attained subdivision index \( A \) should be calculated.
**Regulation 7.2**

When additional calculations of $A$ are performed for different trims, for a given set of calculations the difference between trim values for $d_s$, $d_p$ and $d_l$ may not exceed $1\% L$.

**Regulation 7.5**

1. With the same intent as wing tanks, the summation of the attained index $A$ should reflect effects caused by all watertight bulkheads and flooding boundaries within the damaged zone. It is not correct to assume damage only to one half of the ship's breadth $B$ and ignore changes in subdivision that would reflect lesser contributions.

2. In the forward and aft ends of the ship where the sectional breadth is less than the ship's breadth $B$, transverse damage penetration can extend beyond the centreline bulkhead. This application of the transverse extent of damage is consistent with the methodology to account for the localized statistics which are normalized on the greatest moulded breadth $B$ rather than the local breadth.

3. Where, at the extreme ends of the ship, the subdivision exceeds the waterline at the deepest subdivision draught, the damage penetration $b$ or $B/2$ is to be taken from centreline. The figure below illustrates the shape of the $B/2$ line.

4. Where longitudinal corrugated bulkheads are fitted in wing compartments or on the centreline, they may be treated as equivalent plane bulkheads provided the corrugation depth is of the same order as the stiffening structure. The same principle may also be applied to transverse corrugated bulkheads.

**Regulation 7.6**

Refer to the explanatory notes for regulation 7-2.2 for the treatment of free surfaces during all stages of flooding.
Regulation 7.7

1 This explanatory note only applies to ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a bulkhead or to a deck can be considered to be part of the bulkhead or deck, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead or deck is of the same order as the bulkhead or deck stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc.

2 This explanatory note only applies to ships constructed on or after 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a bulkhead or to a deck can be considered to be part of the bulkhead or deck, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead or deck is of the same order as the bulkhead or deck stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc. In no case should the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead or deck be more than 450 mm measured from the valve's near end to the bulkhead or deck.

3 For ships up to $L = 150$ m the provision for allowing "minor progressive flooding" should be limited to pipes penetrating a watertight subdivision with a total cross-sectional area of not more than 710 mm$^2$ between any two watertight compartments. For ships of $L = 150$ m and upwards the total cross-sectional area of pipes should not exceed the cross-sectional area of one pipe with a diameter of $L/5000$ m.

REGULATION 7-1 – CALCULATION OF THE FACTOR $p_i$

General

1 The definitions below are intended to be used for the application of part B-1 only.

2 In regulation 7-1, the words "compartment" and "group of compartments" should be understood to mean "zone" and "adjacent zones".

3 Zone – a longitudinal interval of the ship within the subdivision length.

4 Room – a part of the ship, limited by bulkheads and decks, having a specific permeability.

5 Space – a combination of rooms.

6 Compartment – a space within watertight boundaries.
7 Damage – the three-dimensional extent of the breach in the ship.

8 For the calculation of $p$, $v$, $r$ and $b$ only the damage should be considered, for the calculation of the $s$-value the flooded space should be considered. The figures below illustrate the difference.

Damage shown as the bold square: 

Flooded space shown below:

Regulation 7-1.1.1

1 The coefficients $b_{11}$, $b_{12}$, $b_{21}$ and $b_{22}$ are coefficients in the bi-linear probability density function on normalized damage length ($J$). The coefficient $b_{12}$ is dependent on whether $L_s$ is greater or less than $L'$ (i.e. 260 m); the other coefficients are valid irrespective of $L_s$.

Longitudinal subdivision

2 In order to prepare for the calculation of index $A$, the ship’s subdivision length $L_s$ is divided into a fixed discrete number of damage zones. These damage zones will determine the damage stability investigation in the way of specific damages to be calculated.

3 There are no specific rules for longitudinally subdividing the ship, except that the length $L_s$ defines the extremities of the zones. Zone boundaries need not coincide with physical watertight boundaries. However, it is important to consider a strategy carefully to obtain a good result (that is a large attained index $A$). All zones and combination of adjacent zones may contribute to the index $A$. In general it is expected that the more zone boundaries the ship is divided into the higher the attained index will be, but this benefit should be balanced against extra computing time. The figure below shows different longitudinal zone divisions of the length $L_s$. 
The first example is a very rough division into three zones of approximately the same size with limits where longitudinal subdivision is established. The probability that the ship will survive a damage in one of the three zones is expected to be low (i.e. the s-factor is low or zero) and, therefore, the total attained index A will be correspondingly low.

In the second example the zones have been placed in accordance with the watertight arrangement, including minor subdivision (as in double bottom, etc.). In this case there is a much better chance of obtaining higher s-factors.

Where transverse corrugated bulkheads are fitted, they may be treated as equivalent plane bulkheads, provided the corrugation depth is of the same order as the stiffening structure.

This explanatory note only applies to ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a transverse bulkhead can be considered to be part of the bulkhead, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead is of the same order as the bulkhead stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc.

This explanatory note only applies to ships constructed on or after 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a transverse bulkhead can be considered to be part of the bulkhead, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead is of the same order as the bulkhead stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc. In no case should the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead or deck be more than 450 mm measured from the valve's near end to the bulkhead or deck.

For cases where the pipes and valves cannot be considered as being part of the transverse bulkhead, when they present a risk of progressive flooding to other watertight compartments that will have influence on the overall attained index A, they should be handled either by introducing a new damage zone and accounting for the progressive flooding to associated compartments or by introducing a gap.

The triangle in the figure below illustrates the possible single and multiple zone damages in a ship with a watertight arrangement suitable for a seven-zone division. The triangles at the bottom line indicate single zone damages and the parallelograms indicate adjacent zones damages.
11 As an example, the triangle illustrates a damage opening the rooms in zone 2 to the sea and the parallelogram illustrates a damage where rooms in zones 4, 5 and 6 are flooded simultaneously.

12 The shaded area illustrates the effect of the maximum absolute damage length. The $p$-factor for a combination of three or more adjacent zones equals zero if the length of the combined adjacent damage zones minus the length of the foremost and the aft most damage zones in the combined damage zone is greater than the maximum damage length. Having this in mind when subdividing $L_s$ could limit the number of zones defined to maximize the attained index $A$.

13 As the $p$-factor is related to the watertight arrangement by the longitudinal limits of damage zones and the transverse distance from the ship side to any longitudinal barrier in the zone, the following indices are introduced:
\( j: \) the damage zone number starting with No.1 at the stern;

\( n: \) the number of adjacent damage zones in question where \( j \) is the aft zone;

\( k: \) the number of a particular longitudinal bulkhead as a barrier for transverse penetration in a damage zone counted from shell towards the centreline. The shell has No.0;

\( K: \) total number of transverse penetration boundaries;

\( p_{j,n,k}: \) the \( p \)-factor for a damage in zone \( j \) and next \((n-1)\) zones forward of \( j \) damaged to the longitudinal bulkhead \( k \).
**Pure longitudinal subdivision**

Single damage zone, pure longitudinal subdivision:

\[ p_{j,1} = p(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) \]

Two adjacent zones, pure longitudinal subdivision:

\[ p_{j,2} = p(x_{1j}, x_{2j+1}) - p(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) - p(x_{1j+1}, x_{2j+1}) \]

Three or more adjacent zones, pure longitudinal subdivision:

\[ p_{j,n} = p(x_{1j}, x_{2j+n-1}) - p(x_{1j}, x_{2j+n-2}) - p(x_{1j+1}, x_{2j+n-1}) + p(x_{1j+1}, x_{2j+n-2}) \]
Regulation 7-1.1.2

*Transverse subdivision in a damage zone*

1 Damage to the hull in a specific damage zone may just penetrate the ship’s watertight hull or penetrate further towards the centreline. To describe the probability of penetrating only a wing compartment, a probability factor \( r \) is used, based mainly on the penetration depth \( b \). The value of \( r \) is equal to 1, if the penetration depth is \( B/2 \) where \( B \) is the maximum breadth of the ship at the deepest subdivision draught \( d_s \), and \( r = 0 \) if \( b = 0 \).

2 The penetration depth \( b \) is measured at level deepest subdivision draught \( d_s \) as a transverse distance from the ship side right-angled to the centreline to a longitudinal barrier.

3 Where the actual watertight bulkhead is not a plane parallel to the shell, \( b \) should be determined by means of an assumed line, dividing the zone to the shell in a relationship \( b_1/b_2 \) with \( 1/2 \leq b_1/b_2 \leq 2 \).

4 Examples of such assumed division lines are illustrated in the figure below. Each sketch represents a single damage zone at a water line plane level \( d_s \) and the longitudinal bulkhead represents the outermost bulkhead position below \( d_s + 12.5 \) m.
4.1 If a transverse subdivision intercepts the deepest subdivision draught waterline within the extent of the zone, \( b \) is equal to zero in that zone for that transverse subdivision [see figure 1]. A non-zero \( b \) can be obtained by including an additional zone, see figure 2.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

![Figure 2](image2.png)

4.2 If the deepest subdivision draught waterline on the side of a single hull ship includes a part where multiple transverse (y) coordinates occur for a longitudinal (x) location, a straightened reference waterline can be used for the calculation of \( b \). If this approach is chosen, the original waterline is replaced by an envelope curve including straight parts perpendicular to the centreline where multiple transverse coordinates occur [see figures 1 to 4]. The maximum transverse damage extent \( B/2 \) should then be calculated from waterline or the reference waterline, if applicable, at the deepest subdivision draught.

![Figure 1](image3.png)

![Figure 2](image4.png)

![Figure 3](image5.png)

![Figure 4](image6.png)
In calculating $r$-values for a group of two or more adjacent compartments, the $b$-value is common for all compartments in that group, and equal to the smallest $b$-value in that group:

$$b = \min \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n\}$$

where:

- $n = \text{number of wing compartments in that group}$;
- $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n = \text{mean values of } b \text{ for individual wing compartments contained in the group}$.

**Accumulating $p$**

The accumulated value of $p$ for one zone or a group of adjacent zones is determined by:

$$p_{j,n} = \sum_{k=1}^{K_{j,n}} p_{j,n,k}$$

where $K_{j,n} = \sum_{j}^{j+n-1} K_j$ the total number of $b$'s for the adjacent zones in question.

The figure above illustrates $b$'s for adjacent zones. The zone $j$ has two penetration limits and one to the centre, the zone $j+1$ has one $b$ and the zone $j+n-1$ has one value for $b$. The multiple zones will have $(2+1+1)$ four values of $b$, and sorted in increasing order they are:

$$(b_{j,1}; b_{j+1,1}; b_{j+n-1,1}; b_{j,2}; b_K)$$

Because of the expression for $r(x_1, x_2, b)$ only one $b_K$ should be considered. To minimize the number of calculations, $b$'s of the same value may be deleted.

As $b_{j,1} = b_{j+1,1}$ the final $b$'s will be $(b_{j,1}; b_{j+n-1,1}; b_{j,2}; b_K)$

**Examples of multiple zones having a different $b$**

Examples of combined damage zones and damage definitions are given in the figures below. Compartments are identified by R10, R12, etc.
Figure: Combined damage of zones 1 + 2 + 3 includes a limited penetration to $b_3$, taken into account generating two damages:

1) to $b_2$ with R10, R20 and R31 damaged;  
2) to $B/2$ with R10, R20, R31 and R32 damaged.

Figure: Combined damage of zones 1 + 2 + 3 includes 3 different limited damage penetrations generating four damages:

1) to $b_3$ with R11, R21 and R31 damaged;  
2) to $b_2$ with R11, R21, R31 and R32 damaged;  
3) to $b_1$ with R11, R21, R31, R32, and R22 damaged;  
4) to $B/2$ with R11, R21, R31, R32, R22 and R12 damaged.

Figure: Combined damage of zone 1 + 2 + 3 including 2 different limited damage penetrations ($b_1 < b_2 = b_3$) generating three damages:

1) to $b_1$ with R11, R21 and R31 damaged;  
2) to $b_2$ with R11, R21, R31 and R12 damaged;  
3) to $B/2$ with R11, R21, R31, R12, R22 and R32 damaged.

10 A damage having a transverse extent $b$ and a vertical extent $H_2$ leads to the flooding of both wing compartment and hold; for $b$ and $H_1$ only the wing compartment is flooded. The figure below illustrates a partial subdivision draught $d_p$ damage.
The same is valid if $b$-values are calculated for arrangements with sloped walls.

This explanatory note only applies to ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a longitudinal bulkhead can be considered to be part of the bulkhead, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead is of the same order as the bulkhead stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc.

This explanatory note only applies to ships constructed on or after 1 January 2024. Pipes and valves directly adjacent or situated as close as practicable to a longitudinal bulkhead can be considered to be part of the bulkhead, provided the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead is of the same order as the bulkhead stiffening structure. The same applies for small recesses, drain wells, etc. In no case should the separation distance on either side of the bulkhead or deck be more than 450 mm measured from the valve’s near end to the bulkhead or deck.

**REGULATION 7-2 – CALCULATION OF THE FACTOR $s_i$**

**General**

1. Initial condition – an intact loading condition to be considered in the damage analysis described by the mean draught, vertical centre of gravity and the trim; or alternative parameters from where the same may be determined (e.g. displacement, $GM$ and trim). There are three initial conditions corresponding to the three draughts $d_s$, $d_p$ and $d_l$. 
2 Immersion limits – immersion limits are an array of points that are not to be immersed at various stages of flooding as indicated in regulations 7-2.5.2 and 7-2.5.3.

3 Openings – all openings need to be defined: both watertight and unprotected. Openings are the most critical factor to preventing an inaccurate index A. If the final waterline immerses the lower edge of any opening through which progressive flooding takes place, the factor "s" may be recalculated taking such flooding into account. However, in this case the s value should also be calculated without taking into account progressive flooding and corresponding opening. The smallest s value should be retained for the contribution to the attained index.

**Regulation 7-2.1**

1 In cases where the GZ curve may include more than one "range" of positive righting levers for a specific stage of flooding, only one continuous positive "range" of the GZ curve may be used within the allowable range/heel limits for calculation purposes. Different stages of flooding may not be combined in a single GZ curve.

2 In figure 1, the s-factor may be calculated from the heel angle, range and corresponding GZ\(_{\text{max}}\) of the first or second "range" of positive righting levers. In figure 2, only one s-factor can be calculated.

**Regulation 7-2.2**

**Intermediate stages of flooding**

1 The case of instantaneous flooding in unrestricted spaces in way of the damage zone does not require intermediate stage flooding calculations. Where intermediate stages of flooding calculations are necessary in connection with progressive flooding, flooding through non-watertight boundaries or cross-flooding, they should reflect the sequence of filling as well as filling level phases. Calculations for intermediate stages of flooding should be performed whenever equalization is not instantaneous, i.e. equalization is of a duration greater than 60 s. Such calculations consider the progress through one or more floodable (non-watertight)
spaces, or cross-flooded spaces. Bulkheads surrounding refrigerated spaces, incinerator rooms and longitudinal bulkheads fitted with non-watertight doors are typical examples of structures that may significantly slow down the equalization of main compartments.

**Flooding boundaries**

2 If a compartment contains decks, inner bulkheads, structural elements and doors of sufficient tightness and strength to seriously restrict the flow of water, for intermediate stage flooding calculation purposes it should be divided into corresponding non-watertight spaces. It is assumed that the non-watertight divisions considered in the calculations are limited to "A" class fire-rated bulkheads and decks, and do not apply to "B" class fire-rated bulkheads normally used in accommodation areas (e.g. cabins and corridors). This guidance also relates to regulation 4.5. For spaces in the double bottom, in general, only main longitudinal structures with a limited number of openings have to be considered as flooding boundaries.

**Sequential flooding computation**

3 For each damage scenario, the damage extent and location determine the initial stage of flooding. Calculations should be performed in stages, each stage comprising at least two intermediate filling phases in addition to the full phase per flooded space. Unrestricted spaces in way of damage should be considered as flooded immediately. Every subsequent stage involves all connected spaces being flooded simultaneously until an impermeable boundary or final equilibrium is reached. Unless the flooding process is simulated using time-domain methods, when a flooding stage leads to both a self-acting cross-flooding device and a non-watertight boundary, the self-acting cross-flooding device is assumed to act immediately and occur before the non-watertight boundary is breached. If due to the configuration of the subdivision in the ship it is expected that other intermediate stages of flooding are more onerous, then those should be investigated.

3.1 For each phase of a flooding stage (except the final full phase), the instantaneous transverse moment of this floodwater is calculated by assuming a constant volume of water at each heeling angle. The GZ curve is calculated with a constant intact displacement at all stages of flooding. Only one free surface needs to be assumed for water in spaces flooded during the current stage.

3.1.1 In the final full phase of each stage, the water level in rooms flooded during this stage reaches the outside sea level, so the lost buoyancy method can be used. The same method applies for every successive stage (added volume of water with a constant intact displacement for all phases before the final full phase of the stage in consideration), while each of the previous stages at the final full phase can be calculated with the lost buoyancy method.

3.1.2 The examples below present a simplified, sequential approach to intermediate stage downflooding and cross-flooding. Because simultaneous downflooding and cross-flooding is not accounted for, any time-to-flood calculated with this sequential approach should be conservative. Alternative approaches, such as time-domain flooding simulation, are also acceptable.

**Example 1: Major damage with cross-flooding device**

Stage 0: Unrestricted spaces in way of damage should be considered as flooded immediately (intermediate phases are not considered). The lost buoyancy method is applied as this is a full (final) phase. Provided the ship does not capsize and remains at a floating position from which cross-flooding can proceed, stage 0 need not be taken into account for the \( s_{factor} \) calculation as the first intermediate stage to be calculated is after 60 s. See cross-flooding/equalization explanatory note 5 below.
Stage 1: Cross-flooding of opposite room

- Breach extent
- Rooms within breach extent instantaneously flooded
- Rooms flooded in previous stage treated in lost buoyancy method
- Flood water is added, with one free surface
- Cross-flooded rooms
- Cross-flooding device

An intermediate phase
Example 2: Minor damage with downflooding and cross-flooding

Stage 0: Unrestricted spaces in way of damage should be considered as flooded immediately (intermediate phases are not considered). The lost buoyancy method is applied as this is a full (final) phase. Provided the ship does not capsize and remains at a floating position from which cross-flooding can proceed, stage 0 need not be taken into account for the $S_{factor}$ calculation as the first intermediate stage to be calculated is after 60 s. See cross-flooding/equalization explanatory note 5 below.

Stage 1: Downflooding through non-watertight deck
Stage 2: Cross-flooding

Cross-flooding device

Floodwater is added with one free surface

Cross-flooded room

An intermediate phase

Final (full) phase of stage 1

Downflooded rooms treated by lost buoyancy method

An intermediate phase

Rooms flooded in previous stage treated by lost buoyancy method

Downflooded room

Rooms flooded in previous stages, treated by lost buoyancy method

Floodwater is added with one common free surface

An intermediate phase
Cross-flooding/equalization

4. In general, cross-flooding is flooding of an undamaged space of the ship to reduce the heel in the final equilibrium condition.

5. The cross-flooding time should be calculated in accordance with the Revised recommendation on a standard method for evaluating cross-flooding arrangements (resolution MSC.362(92)). If complete fluid equalization occurs in 60 s or less, it should be treated as instantaneous and no further calculations need to be carried out. Additionally, in cases where $s_{\text{final}} = 1$ is achieved in 60 s or less, but equalization is not complete, instantaneous flooding may also be assumed if $s_{\text{final}}$ will not become reduced. In any cases where complete fluid equalization exceeds 60 s, the value of $s_{\text{intermediate}}$ after 60 s is the first intermediate stage to be considered. Only self-acting open cross-flooding arrangements without valves should be considered effective for instantaneous flooding cases.

6. Provided that the ship has a $GZ$ greater than 0 and remains in a position from which cross-flooding can proceed, stage 0 need not be taken into account for the $s_{\text{factor}}$ calculation as the first intermediate stage to be calculated is after 60 s.

7. Only cross-flooding devices which are sufficiently submerged below the external waterline at stage 0 are to be used in the calculation for cross-flooding according to resolution MSC.362(92).

8. If complete fluid equalization can be finalized in 10 min or less, the assessment of survivability is carried out using the formula in regulation 7-2.1.1 (i.e. as the smallest value of $s_{\text{intermediate}}$ or $s_{\text{final}} \cdot s_{\text{nom}}$)

9. In case the equalization time is longer than 10 min, $s_{\text{final}}$ is calculated for the floating position achieved after 10 min of equalization. This floating position is computed by calculating the amount of flood water according to resolution MSC.362(92) using interpolation, where the equalization time is set to 10 min, i.e. the interpolation of the flood water volume is made between the case before equalization ($T=0$) and the total calculated equalization time. For damage cases involving different cross-flooding devices serving different spaces, when the interpolation between the case before equalization ($T=0$) and the total calculated equalization time is needed for flood water volume calculation after 60 s or 10 min, the total equalization time is to be calculated separately for each cross-flooding device.
In any cases where complete fluid equalization exceeds 10 min, the value of $s_{\text{final}}$ used in the formula in regulation 7-2.1.1 should be the minimum of $s_{\text{final}}$ at 10 min or at final equalization.

The factor $s_{\text{Intermediate},i}$ may be used for cross-flooding stages if they are intermediate stages which are followed by other subsequent flooding stages (e.g. the flooding stages of non-watertight compartments).

**Alternatives**

As an alternative to the procedure described above in the explanatory notes for regulation 7-2.2, direct calculation using computational fluid dynamics (CFD), time-domain flooding simulations or model testing may be used to analyse intermediate stages of flooding and determine the time for equalization.

**Regulation 7-2.3**

1. The formulation of $s_{\text{final},i}$ is based on target values for $GZ$ and $Range$ to achieve $s = 1$. These values are defined as $TGZ_{\text{max}}$ and $TRange$.

2. If ro-ro spaces are damaged there might be the possibility of water accumulation on these deck spaces. To account for this, in any damage case where the ro-ro space is damaged the higher values for $TGZ_{\text{max}}$ and $TRange$ are to be applied for the calculation of $s_i$.

**Regulation 7-2.4.1.2**

The parameter $A$ (projected lateral area) used in this paragraph does not refer to the attained subdivision index.

**Regulation 7-2.5.2.1 and 7-2.5.2.3**

**Unprotected openings**

1. The flooding angle will be limited by immersion of such an opening. It is not necessary to define a criterion for non-immersion of unprotected openings at equilibrium, because if it is immersed, the range of positive $GZ$ limited to flooding angle will be zero so "$s$" will be equal to zero.

2. An unprotected opening connects two rooms or one room and the outside. An unprotected opening will not be taken into account if the two connected rooms are flooded or none of these rooms are flooded. If the opening is connected to the outside, it will not be taken into account if the connected compartment is flooded. An unprotected opening does not need to be taken into account if it connects a flooded room or the outside to an undamaged room, if this room will be considered as flooded in a subsequent stage.

**Openings fitted with a weathertight means of closing ("weathertight openings")**

Applies to passenger ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024, and to cargo ships.

3. The survival "$s$" factor will be "0" if any such point is submerged at a stage which is considered as "final". Such points may be submerged during a stage or phase which is considered as "intermediate", or within the range beyond equilibrium.
4 If an opening fitted with a weathertight means of closure is submerged at equilibrium during a stage considered as intermediate, it should be demonstrated that this weathertight means of closure can sustain the corresponding head of water and that the leakage rate is negligible.

5 These points are also defined as connecting two rooms or one room and the outside, and the same principle as for unprotected openings is applied to take them into account or not. If several stages have to be considered as "final", a "weathertight opening" does not need to be taken into account if it connects a flooded room or the outside to an undamaged room if this room will be considered as flooded in a successive "final" stage.

**Regulation 7-2.5.2.2**

1 Partial immersion of the bulkhead deck may be accepted at final equilibrium. This provision is intended to ensure that evacuation along the bulkhead deck to the vertical escapes will not be impeded by water on that deck. A "horizontal evacuation route" in the context of this regulation means a route on the bulkhead deck connecting spaces located on and under this deck with the vertical escapes from the bulkhead deck required for compliance with SOLAS chapter II-2.

2 Horizontal evacuation routes on the bulkhead deck include only escape routes (designated as category 2 stairway spaces according to SOLAS regulation II-2/9.2.2.3 or as category 4 stairway spaces according to SOLAS regulation II-2/9.2.2.4 for passenger ships carrying not more than 36 passengers) used for the evacuation of undamaged spaces. Horizontal evacuation routes do not include corridors (designated as category 3 corridor spaces according to SOLAS regulation II-2/9.2.2.3 or as category 2 corridor spaces according to SOLAS regulation II-2/9.2.2.4 for passenger ships carrying not more than 36 passengers) or escape routes within a damaged zone. No part of a horizontal evacuation route serving undamaged spaces should be immersed.

3 Therefore, $s_i = 0$ where it is not possible to access a stair leading up to the embarkation deck from an undamaged space as a result of flooding to the "stairway" or "horizontal stairway" on the bulkhead deck.

**Regulation 7-2.5.3.1**

1 The purpose of this paragraph is to provide an incentive to ensure that evacuation through a vertical escape will not be obstructed by water from above. The paragraph is intended for smaller emergency escapes, typically hatches, where fitting of a watertight or weathertight means of closure would otherwise exclude them from being considered as flooding points.

2 Since the probabilistic regulations do not require that the watertight bulkheads be carried continuously up to the bulkhead deck, care should be taken to ensure that evacuation from intact spaces through flooded spaces below the bulkhead deck will remain possible, for instance by means of a watertight trunk.
Regulation 7-2.6

The sketches in the figure illustrate the connection between position of watertight decks in the reserve buoyancy area and the use of factor $v$ for damages below these decks.

**Example 1:** vertical extent of damage above the waterline

**Example 2:** vertical extent of damage above the waterline

**Example 3:** vertical extent of damage below the waterline

In example 1, there are 3 horizontal subdivisions ($H_1$, $H_2$, and $H_3$) to be taken into account as the vertical extent of damage. The example shows the maximum possible vertical extent of damage $d + 12.5$ m is positioned between $H_2$ and $H_3$. $H_1$ with factor $v_1$, $H_2$ with factor $v_2 > v_1$ but $v_2 < 1$ and $H_3$ with factor $v_3 = 1$.

In example 2, the factors $v_1$ and $v_2$ are the same as above. The reserve buoyancy above $H_3$ should be taken undamaged in all damage cases.

In example 3, the combination of damages into the rooms $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$ and $R_4$ positioned below the initial water line should be chosen so that the damage with the lowest $s$-factor is taken into account. That often results in the definition of alternative damages to be calculated and compared within a damage zone taking into account the vertical and the transverse extent. If the deck taken as the lower limit of damage is not watertight, down flooding should be considered.

Regulation 7-2.6.1

The parameters $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the same as parameters $x1$ and $x2$ used in regulation 7-1.

REGULATION 7-3 — PERMEABILITY

Regulation 7-3.2

1 The following additional cargo permeabilities may be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spaces</th>
<th>Permeability at draught $d_s$</th>
<th>Permeability at draught $d_p$</th>
<th>Permeability at draught $d_l$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber cargo in holds</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood chip cargo</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Reference is made to MSC/Circ.998 (IACS Unified Interpretation regarding timber deck cargo in the context of damage stability requirements) regarding timber deck cargo.
Regulation 7-3.3

1. Concerning the use of other figures for permeability "if substantiated by calculations", such permeabilities should reflect the general conditions of the ship throughout its service life rather than specific loading conditions.

2. This paragraph allows for the recalculation of permeabilities. This should only be considered in cases where it is evident that there is a major discrepancy between the values shown in the regulation and the real values. It is not designed for improving the attained value of a deficient ship of regular type by the modification of chosen spaces in the ship that are known to provide significantly onerous results. All proposals should be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Administration and should be justified with adequate calculations and arguments.

REGULATION 8 – SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING PASSENGER SHIP STABILITY

Regulation 8.1

This regulation is intended to ensure a sufficient safety level if a large compartment is located aft of the collision bulkhead.

REGULATION 8-1 – SYSTEM CAPABILITIES AND OPERATIONAL INFORMATION AFTER A FLOODING CASUALTY ON PASSENGER SHIPS

Regulation 8-1.2

1. In the context of this regulation, "compartment" has the same meaning as defined under regulation 7-1 of these explanatory notes (i.e. an onboard space within watertight boundaries).

2. The purpose of the paragraph is to prevent any flooding of limited extent from immobilizing the ship. This principle should be applied regardless of how the flooding might occur. Only flooding below the bulkhead deck need be considered.

REGULATION 9 – DOUBLE BOTTOMS IN PASSENGER SHIPS AND CARGO SHIPS OTHER THAN TANKERS

Regulation 9.1

1. This regulation is intended to minimize the impact of flooding from a minor grounding. Special attention should be paid to the vulnerable area at the turn of the bilge. When justifying a deviation from fitting an inner bottom, an assessment of the consequences of allowing a more extensive flooding than reflected in the regulation should be provided.

2. The determination regarding the requirement to fit a double bottom "as far as this is practicable and compatible with the design and proper working of the ship" is made, or should be accepted by, the Administration or a recognized organization acting on its behalf.

3. Compliance with the damage stability requirement in regulation 9.8 should not be considered as an equivalent optional requirement to the fitting of a dimensionally compliant double bottom. This is because a flooded watertight compartment, such as an engine-room, that complies with the damage stability requirement in regulation 9.8 is not equivalent to a flooded double bottom below that compartment. Compliance with the damage stability requirement in regulation 9.8 is intended to provide a minimum level of safety in cases when the fitting of a double bottom is not practicable or compatible with the design and proper working of the ship.
Regulation 9.2

1 Except as provided in regulations 9.3 and 9.4, parts of the double bottom not extended for the full width of the ship as required by regulation 9.2 should be considered an unusual arrangement for the purpose of this regulation and should be handled in accordance with regulation 9.7. An example is provided below.

2 If an inner bottom is located higher than the partial subdivision draught $d_p$, this should be considered an unusual arrangement and is to be handled in accordance with regulation 9.7.

Regulations 9.3.2.2, 9.6 and 9.7

For cargo ships of less than 80 m in length ($L$), the alternative arrangements to provide a level of safety satisfactory to the Administration should be limited to compartments not having a double bottom, having an unusual bottom arrangement, or having an "other well" extending below the required double bottom height that is greater than the $h/2$ or 500 mm limit indicated in regulation 9.3.2.1. In these cases compliance with the bottom damage standard in regulation 9.8 should be demonstrated assuming that the damage will only occur between the transverse watertight bulkheads in compartments not having a double bottom, having an unusual bottom arrangement, or having an "other well" extending below the required double bottom height that is greater than the $h/2$ or 500 mm limit indicated in regulation 9.3.2.1.

Regulation 9.6

1 Any part of a passenger ship or a cargo ship of 80 m in length ($L$) and upwards where a double bottom is omitted in accordance with regulation 9.1, 9.4 or 9.5 shall be capable of withstanding bottom damages, as specified in regulation 9.8. The intent of this provision is to specify the circumstances under which the Administration should require calculations, which damage extents to assume and what survival criteria to apply when double bottoms are not fitted.

2 The definition of "watertight" in regulation 2.17 implies that the strength of inner bottoms and other boundaries assumed to be watertight should be verified if they are to be considered effective in this context.

Regulation 9.7

The reference to a "plane" in regulation 9.2 does not imply that the surface of the inner bottom may not be stepped in the vertical direction. Minor steps and recesses need not be considered unusual arrangements for the purpose of this paragraph as long as no part of the inner bottom is located below the reference plane. Discontinuities in way of wing tanks are covered by regulation 9.4.
Regulation 9.8

1 For ships to which the probabilistic damage stability requirements of part B-1 apply, the term "all service conditions" used in this paragraph means the three loading conditions with all trims used to calculate the attained subdivision index A. For ships not subject to the probabilistic damage stability requirements in part B-1, such as cargo ships that comply with the subdivision and damage stability requirements of other instruments as allowed by regulation II-1/4.2.1.2 and cargo ships of less than 80 m in length (L), "all service conditions" means that the limit curves or tables required by regulation 5-1.2.1 should include values calculated for the same draught and trim range(s) as for the other applicable stability requirements.

2 The damage extents specified in this paragraph should be applied to all parts of the ship where no double bottom is fitted, as permitted by regulations 9.1, 9.4 or 9.5, and include any adjacent spaces located within the extent of damage. Small wells in accordance with regulation 9.3.1 do not need to be considered damaged even if within the extent of the damage. Possible positions of the damages are shown in an example below (parts of the ship not fitted with a double bottom are shaded; the damages to be assumed are indicated by boxes).

Regulation 9.9

1 For the purpose of identifying "large lower holds", horizontal surfaces having a continuous deck area greater than approximately 30% in comparison with the waterplane area at subdivision draught should be taken to be located anywhere in the affected area of the ship. For the alternative bottom damage calculation, a vertical extent of B/10 or 3 m, whichever is less, should be assumed.
2. The increased minimum double bottom height of not more than \( B/10 \) or 3 m, whichever is less, for passenger ships with large lower holds, is applicable to holds in direct contact with the double bottom. Typical arrangements of ro-ro passenger ships may include a large lower hold with additional tanks between the double bottom and the lower hold, as shown in the figure below. In such cases, the vertical position of the double bottom required to be \( B/10 \) or 3 m, whichever is less, should be applied to the lower hold deck, maintaining the required double bottom height of \( B/20 \) or 2 m, whichever is less (but not less than 760 mm). The figure below shows a typical arrangement of a modern ro-ro passenger ferry.

REGULATION 10 – CONSTRUCTION OF WATERTIGHT BULKHEADS

Regulation 10.1

For the treatment of steps in the bulkhead deck of passenger ships see explanatory notes for regulation 13. For the treatment of steps in the freeboard deck of cargo ships see explanatory notes for regulation 13-1.

REGULATION 12 – PEAK AND MACHINERY SPACE BULKHEADS, SHAFT TUNNELS, ETC.

Regulation 12.6.1

For cargo ships, for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024, the following figures show examples of suitable butterfly valve arrangements:
As butterfly valves must be capable of being remotely operated the following shall apply:

.1 the actuator shall be of a double acting type;

.2 when subject to loss of power, the actuator shall remain in its current position; and

.3 when subject to loss of power, the valve shall be able to be manually operated.
Regulation 12.10

1. In cargo ships the after engine-room bulkhead can be regarded as the afterpeak bulkhead provided that the after peak adjoins the engine-room.

2. In cargo ships with a raised quarter deck, it may be impracticable to extend the afterpeak bulkhead to the freeboard deck as the freeboard deck does not extend to the aft perpendicular. Provided that the afterpeak bulkhead extends above the deepest load line, and that all rudderstock bearings are housed in a watertight compartment without open connection to spaces located in front of the afterpeak bulkhead, termination of the afterpeak bulkhead on a watertight deck lower than the freeboard deck can be accepted by the Administration.

Regulation 12.11

In cargo ships a stern tube enclosed in a watertight space of moderate volume, such as an afterpeak tank, where the inboard end of the stern tube extends through the afterpeak/engine-room watertight bulkhead into the engine-room, is considered to be an acceptable solution satisfying the requirement of this regulation, provided the inboard end of the stern tube is effectively sealed at the afterpeak/engine-room bulkhead by means of an approved watertight/oiltight gland system.

REGULATION 13 – OPENINGS IN WATERTIGHT BOUNDARIES BELOW THE BULKHEAD DECK IN PASSENGER SHIPS

General – Steps in the bulkhead deck

1. If the transverse watertight bulkheads in a region of the ship are carried to a higher deck which forms a vertical step in the bulkhead deck, openings located in the bulkhead at the step may be considered as being located above the bulkhead deck. Such openings should then comply with regulation 17 and should be taken into account when applying regulation 7-2.
2. All openings in the shell plating below the upper deck throughout that region of the ship should be treated as being below the bulkhead deck and the provisions of regulation 15 should be applied. See figure below.

Regulation 13.2.3

1. For closed piping systems compliance with this regulation is achieved if approved pipe penetrations are fitted at the crossing of watertight boundaries to ensure that heat-sensitive pipes outside the space affected by the fire remain intact, so that any flooding of the fire affected space does not cause progressive flooding through the piping or pipe penetration.

1.1. For open piping systems compliance with this regulation is achieved if approved pipe penetrations are fitted at the crossing of watertight boundaries as are required for closed piping systems, and additionally each pipe connection to a watertight compartment is fitted with an isolation or non-return valve, as appropriate, to prevent progressive flooding through the piping system after a fire. As an alternative to fitting an isolation or non-return valve, pipes may be routed above the damaged waterline in such a way that progressive flooding is prevented, taking into account the dynamic movements of the ship in a damaged condition.

1.2. However, progressive flooding may be taken into account in accordance with regulation 7.2.5.4 instead.

2. For the purpose of this explanatory note the following definitions apply:

   A closed piping system is a piping system without openings in multiple watertight compartments.

   An open piping system is a piping system with openings in multiple watertight compartments.

3. Materials used in systems which penetrate watertight boundaries should be of sufficient strength after exposure to heat or be considered as part of an open piping system. Closing devices using intumescent material (swelling when exposed to heat) for open piping systems should not be considered equivalent to the fitting of a valve, since the fire might be located too far from the device to create a watertight seal.
4 Approval of pipe penetrations fitted to ensure the watertight integrity of a bulkhead or deck where heat-sensitive materials are used should include a prototype test of watertightness after having undergone the standard fire test appropriate for the location in which the penetrations are to be installed.\(^2\)

4.1 The fire tested pipe penetration should then be tested to a test pressure of not less than 1.5 times the design pressure as defined in regulation 2.18. The pressure should be applied to the same side of the division as the fire test.

4.2 The fire tested pipe penetration should be tested for a period of at least 30 min under hydraulic pressure equal to the test pressure, but minimum 1.0 bar. There should be no leakage during this test.

4.3 The fire tested pipe penetration should continue to be tested for a further 30 min with the test pressure. The quantity of water leakage is not to exceed a total of 1 litre.

4.4 The prototype test should be considered valid only for the pipe typology (e.g. thermoplastic and multilayer), pressure classes, the maximum/minimum dimensions tested, and the type and fire rating of the division tested.

5 The pressure test need not be carried out on the hot penetration arrangement. Ample time may be given to prepare for the pressure test, i.e. dismantling the fire testing equipment and rigging the pressure test equipment.

5.1 The pressure test should be carried out with the pipe section used in the fire test still in place.

5.2 Any pipe insulation fitted for the purpose of the fire test may be removed before the pressure test.

5.3 Prototype testing need not be carried out if the pipe penetration is made of steel or equivalent material having a thickness of 3 mm or greater and a length of not less than 900 mm (preferably 450 mm on each side of the division), and there are no openings. Such penetrations shall be suitably insulated by extension of the insulation at the same level of the division. See also regulation II-2/9.3.1 with respect to piping. However, the penetration must still comply with the watertight integrity requirement in regulation 2.17.

**Regulation 13.4**

In cases where main and auxiliary propulsion machinery spaces, including boilers serving the needs for propulsion, are divided by watertight longitudinal bulkheads in order to comply with redundancy requirements (e.g. according to regulation 8-1.2), one watertight door in each watertight bulkhead may be permitted, as shown in the figure below.

---

\(^2\) Refer to the requirements for A-class division set out in part 3 of annex 1 to the 2010 FTP Code.
REGULATION 13-1 – OPENINGS IN WATERTIGHT BULKHEADS AND INTERNAL DECKS IN CARGO SHIPS

Regulation 13-1.1

1. If the transverse watertight bulkheads in a region of the ship are carried to a higher deck than in the remainder of the ship, openings located in the bulkhead at the step may be considered as being located above the freeboard deck.

2. All openings in the shell plating below the upper deck throughout that region of the ship should be treated as being below the freeboard deck, similar to the bulkhead deck for passenger ships (see relevant figure under regulation 13 above), and the provisions of regulation 15 should be applied.

REGULATION 15 – OPENINGS IN THE SHELL PLATING BELOW THE BULKHEAD DECK OF PASSENGER SHIPS AND THE FREEBOARD DECK OF CARGO SHIPS

General – Steps in the bulkhead deck and freeboard deck

For the treatment of steps in the bulkhead deck of passenger ships see explanatory notes for regulation 13. For the treatment of steps in the freeboard deck of cargo ships see explanatory notes for regulation 13-1.

REGULATION 15-1 – EXTERNAL OPENINGS IN CARGO SHIPS

Regulations 15-1.1 to 15-1.3 apply to cargo ships which are subject to the damage stability analysis required in part B-1 or other IMO instruments.

Regulation 15-1.1

With regard to air-pipe closing devices, they should be considered weathertight closing devices (not watertight). This is consistent with their treatment in regulation 7-2.5.2.1. However, in the context of regulation 15-1, "external openings" are not intended to include air-pipe openings.

REGULATION 16 – CONSTRUCTION AND INITIAL TESTS OF WATERTIGHT CLOSURES

General

These requirements are only to establish a general design standard for watertight closures. They are not intended to require any non-watertight hatches to be watertight, nor do they override the requirements of the International Convention on Load Lines.

Regulation 16.2

Large doors, hatches or ramps on passenger and cargo ships, of a design and size that would make pressure testing impracticable, may be exempted from regulation 16.2, provided it is demonstrated by calculations that the doors, hatches or ramps maintain watertightness at design pressure with a proper margin of resistance. Where such doors utilize gasket seals, a prototype pressure test to confirm that the compression of the gasket material is capable of accommodating any deflection, revealed by the structural analysis, should be carried out. After installation every such door, hatch or ramp should be tested by means of a hose test or equivalent.
**Note:** See explanatory notes for regulation 13 for additional information regarding the treatment of steps in the bulkhead deck of passenger ships. See explanatory notes for regulation 13-1 for additional information regarding the treatment of steps in the freeboard deck of cargo ships.

**REGULATION 17 — INTERNAL WATERTIGHT INTEGRITY OF PASSENGER SHIPS ABOVE THE BULKHEAD DECK**

**General – Steps in the bulkhead deck**

For the treatment of steps in the bulkhead deck of passenger ships see explanatory notes for regulation 13.

**Regulation 17.1**

This explanatory note only applies to passenger ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024.

1. Sliding watertight doors with a reduced pressure head that are located above the bulkhead deck and which are immersed in the final or during any intermediate stage of flooding should comply fully with the requirements of regulation 13. These types of sliding watertight doors tested with reduced pressure head must not be immersed at any stage of flooding by a head of water higher than the tested pressure head. See figure 1 below. These sliding watertight doors shall be kept closed during navigation in compliance with the requirements of regulation 22 and this should be clearly indicated in the damage control information required by regulation 19.

2. If watertight doors are located above the worst final and above the worst intermediate waterline in damage cases contributing to the attained subdivision index A, but within the area where the door becomes intermittently immersed (fully or partly) at angles of heel in the required range of positive stability beyond the equilibrium position, such doors are to be power-operated and remotely controlled sliding semi-watertight doors complying with the requirements of regulation 13, except that the scantlings and sealing requirements could be reduced to the maximum head of water caused by the waterline being intermittently immersed (see figure 1 below). These doors should be closed in case of damage and this should be clearly indicated in the damage control information required by regulation 19.

3. The use of sliding watertight doors above the bulkhead deck affects the escape provisions of regulation II-2/13. When such doors are used above the bulkhead deck, there should be at least two means of escape from each main vertical zone or similarly restricted
space or group of spaces, at least one of which should be independent of watertight doors and at least one of which should give access to a stairway forming a vertical escape. Sliding watertight doors that will be used frequently by passengers must not create a tripping hazard.

4  Doors fitted above the bulkhead deck which are required to meet both fire protection and watertight requirements should comply with the fire requirements in regulation II-2/9.4.1.1 and the watertight requirements in paragraphs 1 and 2 above. Notwithstanding regulation II-2/9.4.1.1.3, watertight doors fitted above the bulkhead deck should be insulated to the standard required by table 9.1 and regulation II-2/9.2.2.1.1.1 or by table 9.3 and regulation II-2/9.2.2.1.1.2 as appropriate. The door must be capable of operation using both the remote fire door control circuit and the remote watertight door control circuit. If two doors are fitted, they must be capable of independent operation. The operation of either door separately must not preclude closing of the other door. Both doors must be capable of being operated from either side of the bulkhead.

Regulation 17.2

This explanatory note only applies to passenger ships constructed on or after 1 January 2024.

1  Doors fitted in internal watertight subdivision boundaries located above the bulkhead deck that are immersed at either the final equilibrium or worst intermediate stage of flooding waterlines should be sliding watertight doors that comply fully with the requirements of regulation 13. They should not be immersed at any stage of flooding by a head of water higher than their design scantlings or their tested pressure head. These sliding watertight doors should be kept closed during navigation in accordance with the requirements of regulation 22 and this should be clearly indicated in the damage control information required by regulation 19.

2  The use of sliding watertight doors above the bulkhead deck affects the escape provisions of regulation II-2/13. When such doors are used above the bulkhead deck, there should be at least two means of escape from each main vertical zone or similarly restricted space or group of spaces, at least one of which should be independent of watertight doors and at least one of which should give access to a stairway forming a vertical escape. Sliding watertight doors that will be used frequently by passengers must not create a tripping hazard.

3  Doors fitted above the bulkhead deck which are required to meet both fire protection and watertight requirements should comply with the fire requirements in regulation II-2/9.4.1.1 and the watertight requirements in paragraph 1 above. Notwithstanding regulation II-2/9.4.1.1.3, watertight doors fitted above the bulkhead deck should be insulated to the standard required by table 9.1 and regulation II-2/9.2.2.1.1.1 or by table 9.3 and regulation II-2/9.2.2.1.1.2 as appropriate. The door must be capable of operation using both the remote fire door control circuit and the remote watertight door control circuit. If two doors are fitted, they must be capable of independent operation. The operation of either door separately must not preclude closing of the other door. Both doors must be capable of being operated from either side of the bulkhead.

Regulation 17.3

This explanatory note only applies to passenger ships constructed on or after 1 January 2024.

1  To be considered capable of preventing the passage of water when intermittently immersed in the required range of positive stability, these doors should meet a watertight standard for a minimum 1 m head of water. These doors may be hinged or sliding, provided they comply with the design requirements applied from both sides of the door. Consideration
should be given to the opening direction for hinged doors, so that they do not open against the intended direction of escape. These doors should be closed in case of damage and this should be clearly indicated in the damage control information required by regulation 19.

2 These doors are required to meet the fire protection requirements in chapter II-2. Because these doors are not watertight doors that comply with the requirements in regulation 13, the exclusions for watertight doors in chapter II-2 do not apply. In addition to operation using the fire door control circuit, these doors should be provided with a separate remote closure control circuit located on the navigation bridge with the central operating console for the power-operated sliding watertight doors that is required by regulation 13.7.1. A diagram showing the location of each door, with visual indicators to show whether each door is open or closed, should also be at the central operating console. A red light should indicate a door is fully open and a green light should indicate a door is fully closed. When the door is closed remotely, the red light should indicate the intermediate position by flashing. The indicating circuit should be independent of the control circuit for each door. Indication should also be provided to the onboard stability computer, if installed in accordance with regulation 8-1.3.1.

3 These doors should also be capable of being remotely closed with the ship listed 15 degrees either way.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation/waterlines</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Structural and functional scantling</th>
<th>Use at sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watertight door according to regulations 17.2 and 13</td>
<td>Immersed at the final equilibrium stage of flooding waterline or the worst intermediate stage of flooding waterline</td>
<td>Sliding</td>
<td>Closed during navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door according to regulation 17.3</td>
<td>Above the worst intermediate and final equilibrium waterlines but within the area where the door becomes intermittently immersed (fully or partially) at angles of heel in the required range of positive stability beyond the equilibrium position</td>
<td>According to Revised MSC.1/Circ.1572</td>
<td>Doors that are remotely operated should be closed in case of damage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regulation 17.5**

For passenger ships for which the building contract is placed on or after 1 January 2020 and which are constructed before 1 January 2024, this is regulation 17.3.

This paragraph is intended to ensure that progressive flooding through air pipes of volumes located above a horizontal division in the superstructure, which is considered as a watertight boundary when applying regulation 7-2.6.1.1, will be taken into consideration if a side or bottom damage would cause flooding via tanks or spaces located below the waterline.

**REGULATION 17-1 – INTEGRITY OF THE HULL AND SUPERSTRUCTURE, DAMAGE PREVENTION AND CONTROL ON RO-RO PASSENGER SHIPS**

Regulations 17-1.1.1 and 17-1.1.3 apply only to direct accesses from a ro-ro space to spaces located below the bulkhead deck. The operation of watertight doors in bulkheads separating a ro-ro space and other spaces as per regulation 13.8.1 should be limited to compliance with regulation 23.3.
Regulation 17-1.1.2

If a non-watertight vehicle ramp closure is assumed to restrict the flow of water during the calculation of the attained subdivision index \( A \), the vehicle ramp opening should comply with regulation 7-2.5.3.4.

**REGULATION 22  – PREVENTION AND CONTROL OF WATER INGRESS, ETC.**

The word “port” used in this regulation includes all berths and sheltered locations where loading and/or discharging may take place.

**Regulation 22.3**

Regarding the requirement that Administrations authorize watertight doors that may be opened during navigation only after careful consideration of the impact on ship operations and survivability taking into account guidance issued by the Organization, no prescribed guidance with respect to stability survivability is considered necessary for cargo ships. For cargo ships, these authorizations are left to the discretion of the Administration.

**Regulation 22.7**

This provision applies to any hatches that are considered watertight in the damage stability calculations, whether fitted above or below the bulkhead deck of passenger ships or the freeboard deck of cargo ships.

**REGULATION 23  – SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR RO-RO PASSENGER SHIPS**

**Regulation 23.6**

In the context of this paragraph, the movement of cargo during navigation should not be considered “the essential working of the ship”.
APPENDIX

GUIDELINES FOR THE PREPARATION OF SUBDIVISION AND
DAMAGE STABILITY CALCULATIONS

1 GENERAL

1.1 Purpose of the Guidelines

1.1.1 These Guidelines serve the purpose of simplifying the process of the damage stability analysis, as experience has shown that a systematic and complete presentation of the particulars results in considerable saving of time during the approval process.

1.1.2 A damage stability analysis serves the purpose of providing proof of the damage stability standard required for the respective ship type. At present, two different calculation methods, the deterministic concept and the probabilistic concept, are applied.

1.2 Scope of analysis and documentation on board

1.2.1 The scope of subdivision and damage stability analysis is determined by the required damage stability standard and aims at providing the ship's master with clear intact stability requirements. In general, this is achieved by determining KG-respective GM-limit curves, containing the admissible stability values for the draught range to be covered.

1.2.2 Within the scope of the analysis thus defined, all potential or necessary damage conditions will be determined, taking into account the damage stability criteria, in order to obtain the required damage stability standard. Depending on the type and size of ship, this may involve a considerable amount of analyses.

1.2.3 Referring to SOLAS chapter II-1, regulation 19, the necessity to provide the crew with the relevant information regarding the subdivision of the ship is expressed, therefore plans should be provided and permanently exhibited for the guidance of the officer in charge. These plans should clearly show for each deck and hold the boundaries of the watertight compartments, the openings therein with means of closure and position of any controls thereof, and the arrangements for the correction of any list due to flooding. In addition, Damage Control Booklets containing the aforementioned information should be available.

2 DOCUMENTS FOR SUBMISSION

2.1 Presentation of documents

The documentation should begin with the following details: principal dimensions, ship type, designation of intact conditions, designation of damage conditions and pertinent damaged compartments, KG-respective GM-limit curve.

2.2 General documents

For the checking of the input data, the following should be submitted:

.1 main dimensions;
.2 lines plan, plotted or numerical;
.3 hydrostatic data and cross curves of stability (including drawing of the buoyant hull);

.4 definition of sub-compartments with moulded volumes, centres of gravity and permeability;

.5 layout plan (watertight integrity plan) for the sub-compartments with all internal and external opening points including their connected sub-compartments, and particulars used in measuring the spaces, such as general arrangement plan and tank plan. The subdivision limits, longitudinal, transverse and vertical, should be included;

.6 light service condition;

.7 load line draught;

.8 coordinates of opening points with their level of tightness (e.g. weathertight, unprotected);

.9 watertight door location with pressure calculation;

.10 side contour and wind profile;

.11 cross and downflooding devices and the calculations thereof according to resolution MSC.362(92) with information about diameter, valves, pipe lengths and coordinates of inlet/outlet;

.12 pipes in damaged area when the destruction of these pipes results in progressive flooding; and

.13 damage extensions and definition of damage cases.

2.3 Special documents

The following documentation of results should be submitted.

2.3.1 Documentation

2.3.1.1 Initial data:

.1 subdivision length \( L_s \);

.2 initial draughts and the corresponding \( GM \)-values;

.3 required subdivision index \( R \); and

.4 attained subdivision index \( A \) with a summary table for all contributions for all damaged zones.

2.3.1.2 Results for each damage case which contributes to the index \( A \):

.1 draught, trim, heel, \( GM \) in damaged condition;

.2 dimension of the damage with probabilistic values \( p \), \( v \) and \( r \);
.3 righting lever curve (including $GZ_{\text{max}}$ and range) with factor of survivability $s$;
.4 critical weathertight and unprotected openings with their angle of immersion; and
.5 details of sub-compartments with amount of in-flooded water/lost buoyancy with their centres of gravity.

2.3.1.3 In addition to the requirements in paragraph 2.3.1.2, particulars of non-contributing damages ($s_i = 0$ and $p_i > 0.00$) should also be submitted for passenger ships and ro-ro ships fitted with long lower holds including full details of the calculated factors.

2.3.2 Special consideration

For intermediate conditions, as stages before cross-flooding or before progressive flooding, an appropriate scope of the documentation covering the aforementioned items is needed in addition.